# Continuous competency development in healthcare education

### Overview



The patient is central

Quality of care

Healthcare education

Work-integrated learning

Competency development Competencies

Behavioral indicators/assessment criteria to measure interprofessional communication

## Scoping Review

- Focus on students (n = 25/37)
- Focus on perceptions of ePortfolio users (n=32/27)
- Lack of role of ePortfolios supporting CPD
- Focus on practical, more technical aspect of ePortfolio use
- Lack of focus on interprofessional use of **ePortfolios**
- ePortfolios are being used by different populations, in different contexts with different objectives
- No ePortfolio contains all possible features

Choose a fitting ePortfolio

Develop a comprehensive ePortfolio with different context-specific features

→ (interprofessional) collaboration ↑

# Delphi Study

- The **roles** were fully covered by their key competencies.
- There was **consensus** about the **relevance and clarity** of all key competencies.
- There was **no consensus** about the **measurability** of two key competencies:
  - ✓ The healthcare professional manages career planning, finance, and human resources of the practice (leader)
  - ✓ The healthcare professional demonstrates commitment to society through recognition of and answering to society's expectations of healthcare (professional)

#### Recommendations

- The CanMEDS competency framework is **complete**, **relevant**, **and clear** to support CBE in nursing, midwifery, and several allied healthcare educational programs.
- Consider implementing the framework at the level of key competencies to allow interprofessional collaboration and education
- Complement the key competencies with context-specific enabling competencies or behavioral indicators to optimize the measurability
- Take into account **competency development** before and after graduation, and within different educational levels

# Focus group interviews

### CBE in practice

Theory-practice gap

A CBE curriculum is

developed while

**unaware** of this

Students did not

see the point of

the **predefined** 

competencies

practice lacked

**Transfer** of

students and

mentors are

curriculum:

An **overview** of predefined

competencies was

Absent overview

of competencies

A lot of **different** competency frameworks in practice

hard to find

This complicates WIL and competencies to competency development

Absent link between WIL steps

Lack of **holistic** 

developmental

picture and

aspect

Focus on technical

competencies

Was seen during reflection, feedback, and assessment

While **generic** competencies are at least as important

Lack of **holistic** picture and developmental aspect

Problems with learning goals

No link with predefined

Lack of **guidance** 

competencies

Artificial formulation

Reflection on competency

development lacks

Problems with

reflection

No deep reflection:

 Assessment for learning ⇔ assessment of learning

 Main incentive to learn is receiving a good grade

 Developmental aspects between internships lacks feedback

Low quality of

Lack of **time** 

Fear to harm students

Lack of knowledge of the importance of feedback and how to give it

Hard to find **overview** of predefined competencies

Presence of more than one mentor

Development of a rubric

Subjective

assessment

Help of a computer/algorith

scaffold

### Systematic review: interprofessional communication

**Research question**: What preferences do healhcare professionals have in the context of interprofessional communication? **Goal:** to identify 'good and bad' practices and define a behavioral indicator list to assess interprofessional communication

	Results in 2018	Results in 2022	New results since 2018
WoS	643	935	292
PubMed	5444	6475	1031
Embase	4873	5908	1035
Cinahl	615	1074	459
Cochrane	180	231	51
Total new results			2868

2868 records to be screened in 2022

# Contact

Drs. Oona Janssens

Oona.Janssens@UGent.be



@0onaJanssens



Oona Janssens



www.sbo-scaffold.com

**Supervisors**: Prof. dr. Leen Haerens, Prof. dr. Martin Valcke, dr. Mieke Embo

Advisory committee: Prof. dr. Peter Pype, Prof. dr. Dimitri Beeckman





















